

African Bank Holdings Limited
and African Bank Limited

Public Pillar III Disclosures
in terms of the Banks Act,
Regulation 43
as at 30 September 2020

CONTENTS

1. Executive summary.....	3
2. Basis of compilation.....	7
3. Supplementary information including risk management	7
4. Period of reporting	8
5. Scope of reporting.....	8
6. Overview of risk management, key prudential metrics and RWA	8
7. Composition of capital	12
8. Leverage ratio.....	15
9. Linkages between financial statements and regulatory exposures	17
10. Credit risk.....	20
11. Liquidity measurements.....	27
13. Interest rate risk	33
14. Remuneration	33
15. Qualitative disclosures and accounting policies	33

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1. OVERVIEW

African Bank Holdings Limited (ABH or the ABH Group) and its 100% held banking subsidiary, African Bank Limited (“ABL” or “the Bank”) commenced business on 4 April 2016. ABH was capitalised with a cash subscription for ordinary shares in the amount of R10 billion and, in turn, ABH elected to capitalise ABL with the same amount, also in return for ordinary shares. An extended liability term structure was established as a result of the restructuring of the old African Bank that was placed under curatorship on 10 August 2014 and subsequently renamed Residual Debt Services Limited (in curatorship) (RDS), (the Restructuring). ABL acquired a portfolio of assets and liabilities from RDS in terms of the Restructuring, which included part of the credit-worthy retail advances book.

Significant improvements in the credit underwriting and provisioning methodologies were immediately, and continue to be, applied in ABL, based on the changing dynamics of the market, the customer profile and the risk experience in respect of the retail advances on book.

The balance sheet of ABH and ABL remains strong, with advances appropriately provided for, strong capital adequacy and available cash holdings, including surplus liquid assets of R4.6 billion. Liquidity risk, interest rate risk and foreign exchange risks are managed within a conservative risk appetite framework.

The overall impact of the strong balance sheet structure, as expressed in the conservative risk appetite, is evidenced in the various sections of this report which, as of 30 September 2020, includes a CET1

ratio of 31.2%, a leverage ratio of 26.0%, a liquidity coverage ratio of 624% and a net stable funding ratio of 137% at the ABL level. Consequently, ABH and ABL operate well above minimum required regulatory levels in respect of all prudential ratios.


1.2. GOVERNANCE

This Pillar III disclosure report complies with the Prudential Authority’s requirements as stipulated by the Banks Act (Act No. 94 of 1990), and through the relevant regulations, directives and guidance notes issued, with specific reference to Directive D1 of 2019. The Board is satisfied that in line with African Bank’s prudent governance processes, relevant executive management and Board executives have reviewed this document. The information provided in this report was subject to a similar and appropriate level of internal review as the information provided for financial reporting purposes.

1.3. CAPITAL ADEQUACY RATIOS

The capital adequacy ratios and qualifying regulatory capital for ABH and ABL as at 30 September 2020 are set out in the graph and table below. The Group remains well capitalised with CET1 and Tier 1 ratios of 35.9% and 31.2% at a consolidated Group and Bank level respectively. The corresponding total capital adequacy ratios are 39.1% and 38.4% respectively.


Capital Adequacy by Tier (%)



YEAR-TO-DATE CET1/TIER 1 ANALYSIS


An analysis of the change in African Bank Limited’s CET1 capital adequacy ratio movement from 30 September 2019 to 30 September 2020 as well as a comparison between the Bank and Group capital adequacy, as at 30 September 2020, is shown below. The CET1 capital adequacy ratio for 30 September 2019 in the graph below has been revised as per the restatements on the Annual Financial Statement. The details of the restatements are contained in Note 40 of the African Bank Limited Annual Financial Statements for 30 September 2020.

African Bank Limited



The 30 September 2020 CET1 ratio remained flat against the 30 September 2019 ratio, as a result of both ABL’s capital demand and capital supply decreasing during the current financial year. Capital supply decreased as a result of the losses incurred for the period by the Bank, included in loss is an increase in impairment provision as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. Capital demand decreased significantly as a result of a decrease in retail advances. The demand decrease in advances was primarily due to an increase in impairments, which directly reduces capital, resulting in a reduction in risk weighted exposure. The adoption of IFRS 16: *Leases* (IFRS 16) and the surplus cash held as a result of a decrease in credit disbursements resulted in an increase in capital demand which was offset by a decrease in risk weighted exposure of other assets.

REGULATORY CAPITAL BUILD-UP FROM ABL TO ABH



The difference between the Group ratios and the Bank ratios are largely due to the following:

- **Impact of Insurance operations** - At a Group level, capital supply is bolstered by the cumulative earnings generated from the Group’s insurance operations of R1.8 billion. There is no additional RWA requirement for the investment

in the Group's insurance subsidiary, African Insurance Group Limited (AIG), due to a return of capital during 2018 that resulted in the write-down of the carrying value of the investment to zero.

- **Impact of Investments made by ABH** - The additional RWA requirements at a Group level is as a result of the cumulative earnings received from the insurance operation being invested directly by ABH (which were previously invested with ABL) into financial investments.
- **Deduction of Tier 2 minority interest** - As Tier 2 capital is issued at the subsidiary (African Bank Limited) level, a deduction of the deemed excess over and above the regulatory minimum is required at the consolidated level. The de-recognition of surplus capital results in a significantly lower Tier 2 ratio of 3.2% when compared to that of ABL (7.2%).

The following table sets out the composition of the qualifying regulatory capital for ABH and ABL:

R million	African Bank Holdings Limited		African Bank Limited	
	Sep-2020	Jun-2020	Sep-2020	Jun-2020
Composition of qualifying regulatory capital				
Ordinary share capital & accumulated profit	10 000	10 000	10 000	10 000
Regulatory adjustments	(665)	(496)	(2 678)	(2 490)
Common Equity Tier 1 capital (CET1)	9 335	9 504	7 322	7 510
Total qualifying subordinated debt	616	630	1 485	1 485
Qualifying Portfolio Provisions	220	235	220	235
Tier 2 capital (T2)	836	865	1 705	1 720
Total Qualifying regulatory capital	10 171	10 369	9 027	9 230

Refer to 7.2 of the detailed disclosure for a detailed breakdown of the above table.

1.4. LEVERAGE RATIO

The Basel III leverage ratio is defined as the capital measure (Tier 1 capital) divided by the total exposure measure (total exposures) and is expressed as a percentage. This prudential ratio acts as a backstop to the risk-based capital adequacy ratio, by acting to restrict the build-up of excessive leverage by banks.

The increase in the leverage ratio at ABL level is largely driven by the decrease in total exposure as wholesale

liabilities matured and not refinanced which subsequently resulted in the cash balances decreasing. Total exposure further decreased as a result of loans and advances decreasing as credit disbursements declined over the period due to muted credit growth as a result of credit tightening and further exacerbated by the impact of COVID-19 and related restrictions on the economy. No significant movement is noted at ABH level as the deposit previously placed with ABL by ABH was moved back to ABH for further investments undertaken by ABH. The leverage ratio remains well in excess of the current regulatory minimum requirement.

R million	African Bank Holdings Limited		African Bank Limited	
	Sep-2020	Jun-2020	Sep-2020	Jun-2020
Capital and total exposures				
Tier 1 capital	9 335	9 504	7 322	7 510
Total exposures	30 194	30 353	28 181	30 633
Basel III leverage ratio	30.9%	31.3%	26.0%	24.5%
Basel III leverage ratio regulatory minimum requirement	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%

Refer to 8.2 of the detailed disclosure for a detailed breakdown of the above table.

1.5. LIQUIDITY COVERAGE RATIO (“LCR”)

The LCR is a prudential ratio which incorporates a 30-day stress test, requiring the Bank to hold sufficient high-quality liquidity assets to cover envisaged net outflows. These outflows are calibrated using regulatory prescribed factors applied to assets and liabilities in a static run-off model. Regulatory definitions are used to identify high-quality liquid assets.

The increase in the LCR from the previous reporting period was as a result of a decrease in the total net cash outflows over the quarter ended 30 September 2020, primarily as a result of lower maturing liability balances, as compared to the quarter ended 30 June 2020. This increase was further supported by additional investments in HQLA made during the reporting quarter.

African Bank Limited	Total	Total
R million	weighted value (average)	weighted value (average)
	Sep-2020	Jun-2020
Total high-quality liquid assets	1 732	1 200
Total net cash outflows	277	354
Liquidity coverage ratio (%)	624%	379%
Regulatory minimum requirement ⁽¹⁾	80%	100%

(1) The regulatory minimum requirement decreased to 80% effective from 1 April 2020 as per Directive 1 of 2020 Refer to 11.5 of the detailed disclosure for a detailed breakdown of the above table.

1.6. NET STABLE FUNDING RATIO (“NSFR”)

The NSFR is determined as the amount of available stable funding relative to the amount of required stable funding, over a one-year period. This ratio is required to be greater than or equal to 100% on an on-going basis.

“Available stable funding” is defined as the portion of capital and liabilities expected to be reliable over the time horizon considered by the NSFR, which extends to one year. The amount of such stable funding required of a specific institution is a function of the liquidity characteristics and residual maturities of the various assets held by that institution as well as those of its off-balance sheet exposures.

The NSFR is designed to ensure closer matching of long-term asset cash flows with long-term funding cash flows. Full compliance for the NSFR became effective since January 2018.

The increase in NSFR is driven by movement in both, the available stable funding as well as the required stable funding. The increase in the available stable funding is largely due to an increase in the retail deposit book as the wholesale deposits mature which has a positive impact on the ratio. This increase is further supported by the decrease in required stable funding due to cash balances decreasing as a result of maturing wholesale liabilities and a decrease in credit disbursements as mentioned earlier.

African Bank Limited	Sep-2020	Jun-2020
R million		
NSFR (%)	137%	129%
Available stable funding	21 828	21 737
Required stable funding	15 964	16 856

Refer to 11.6 of the detailed disclosure for a detailed breakdown of the above table.

1.7. REFERENCES OF QUANTITATIVE STANDARDISED TABLES AND TEMPLATES

Refer to the attached Annexure A to this document for the quantitative standardised tables and templates as prescribed in the revised pillar 3 disclosure requirements published in January 2015 and pillar 3 disclosure requirements - consolidated and enhanced framework published in March 2017 by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision.

2. BASIS OF COMPILATION

The information contained in this report is based on the month-end actual results and, in some instances, the average balances as contained in the ABH and ABL regulatory returns. Accordingly, this information may not agree to the information contained in the respective sets of Annual Financial Statements, which are prepared on an IFRS basis.

The table below shows an analysis of advances to customers for ABL as at 30 September 2020 and is included as a reference to the published Annual Financial Statements.

Analysis of advances to customers as at 30 September 2020 ⁽¹⁾			
R million	Term loans	Credit Cards	Total
Gross amount due by customers	24 476	3 852	28 328
Impairment attributable to acquired advances and deferred fees	(1 904)	(41)	(1 945)
Gross advances	22 572	3 811	26 383
Impairment and deferred fees attributable to originated advances	(7 800)	(947)	(8 747)
Net advances	14 772	2 864	17 636

(1) The above table provides a breakdown of loans and advances related to credit cards and term loans only and excludes interbank and sovereign exposures.

3. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION INCLUDING RISK MANAGEMENT

Additional information providing context for disclosures contained herein is included in the following documents published by the ABH Group, available on the investor relations page of the Group's website: <https://www.africanbank.co.za>.

African Bank Holdings Limited Integrated Report 2020

- ▶ Overview and business model
- ▶ Material matters
- ▶ Strategy
- ▶ Governance and compliance
- ▶ People and remuneration

African Bank Holdings Limited: consolidated and separate annual financial statements 30 September 2020, and

African Bank Limited: annual financial statements 30 September 2020

The reference to the various sections are given by way of a reference to the specific note in the annual financial statements of African Bank Limited.

- ▶ Accounting policies (Note 1)
- ▶ Risk management (Note 28)
- ▶ Credit risk management including approach to impairment provisioning (Note 29)
- ▶ Market risk (Note 30)
- ▶ Interest rate risk management (Note 30.1)
- ▶ Foreign exchange risk management (note 30.2)
- ▶ Liquidity risk (Note 31)

The ABH integrated report gives a comprehensive overview of the risk areas covered while the ABL and ABH Annual Financial Statements provide further detail of the approach to risk management and the risk types to which the Bank and Group are exposed. This information should be read in conjunction with the detailed information in this report.

3.1. STRESS TESTING

In addition to the risk management approach notes as indicated above, the Group has in place a stress testing policy, the objective of which is to provide a coherent and consistent methodology to assess the Group's ability to survive a spectrum of severe stress conditions and scenarios, whilst maintaining the minimum required capital adequacy and liquidity positions.

The primary objective of the Group's stress testing program is to provide useful and relevant information and analyses to senior management and the Board, relating to its financial strength during stressed macro-economic conditions and other adverse systemic or idiosyncratic scenarios. These factors have the potential to impact the Group's sustainability and performance, primarily as measured through the impact on solvency and liquidity.

The stress testing program is used as a tool to allow senior management and the Board to develop a sustainable strategy in line with its risk appetite and with the correct level of risk mitigation, be it capital allocation, contingency funding plans or other interventions.

In order to meet this objective, African Bank's stress testing and scenario analysis program considers the following:

- African Bank's existing risk profile and the expected risk profile based on its strategic plan;
- African Bank's financial, capital management and asset & liability management plans; and
- African Bank's financial risk appetite statement as well as its associated parameters and tolerances.

The stress testing program is built on a methodology that targets an enhanced understanding of the material risks facing the Group in order to improve sustainability and profitability.

The board identifies the Group's key risks through the Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Framework. The risk appetite for each key risk is reviewed and approved by the Board to enable informed risk-based decision-making. The scenarios used in the Group's stress testing program, including the ICAAP and Recovery Plan, are devised with this board assessment in mind. These stress scenarios cover idiosyncratic and macro-economic stress scenarios which can be fast-moving or slow-moving scenarios. An enterprise-wide stress test approach is used for the ICAAP and Recovery planning, whereby various business such as IT, Credit, Treasury, ERM and other operational business units provides input into the stress scenarios. The ICAAP primarily focusses primarily on the impact of macro-economic stress scenarios on the Group. The Recovery plan can be regarded as an extension of the ICAAP and therefore incorporates more severe stress scenarios which also reverse stress testing.

4. PERIOD OF REPORTING

This report is prepared as at 30 September 2020 for the ABH Group and its 100% held banking subsidiary, ABL.

5. SCOPE OF REPORTING

This report contains capital adequacy information for ABH and its 100% held banking subsidiary, ABL. The further disclosures for ABL include the leverage ratio, the liquidity coverage ratio, credit disclosures, liquidity disclosures and foreign exchange exposures, and also materially reflect the position of the ABH Group.

All subsidiaries are consolidated in the same manner for both accounting and regulatory reporting purposes. All companies are incorporated in the Republic of South Africa. The registered banking subsidiary of the Group, ABL, has no subsidiaries.

6. OVERVIEW OF RISK MANAGEMENT, KEY PRUDENTIAL METRICS AND RWA

The following table presents a summary of key prudential metrics related to regulatory capital, leverage ratio and liquidity. Banks are required to disclose each metric's value using the corresponding standard's specifications for the reporting period-end (designated by "T" in the template below) as well as the four previous quarter-end figures (T-1 to T-4). Please note that the table below reflects the capital and leverage position at an ABH Group level, whilst the LCR and NSFR are reported at a Bank level.

6.1. KM1 - KEY METRICS

Period ended:	Sep20	Jun20	Mar20	Dec19	Sep19
R million	(T)	(T-1)	(T-2)	(T-3)	(T-4)
Available capital (amounts) ^{(1) (3)}					
1 Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1)	9 335	9 504	9 737	9 914	9 919
1a Fully loaded ECL accounting model	9 335	9 504	9 737	9 914	9 919
2 Tier 1	9 335	9 504	9 737	9 914	9 919
2a Fully loaded accounting model Tier 1	9 335	9 504	9 737	9 914	9 919
3 Total capital	10 171	10 369	10 603	10 747	10 730
3a Fully loaded ECL accounting model total capital	10 171	10 369	10 603	10 747	10 730
Risk-weighted assets (amounts)					
4 Total risk-weighted assets (RWA)	26 002	25 975	26 446	26 381	26 078
Risk-based capital ratios as a percentage of RWA					
5 Common Equity Tier 1 ratio (%)	35.9	36.6	36.8	37.5	38.0
5a Fully loaded ECL accounting model CET1 (%)	35.9	36.6	36.8	37.5	38.0
6 Tier 1 ratio (%)	35.9	36.6	36.8	37.5	38.0
6a Fully loaded ECL accounting model Tier 1 ratio	35.9	36.6	36.8	37.5	38.0
7 Total capital ratio (%)	39.1	39.9	40.1	40.7	41.1
7a Fully loaded ECL accounting model total capital ratio (%)	39.1	39.9	40.1	40.7	41.1
Additional CET1 buffer requirements as a percentage of RWA					
8 Capital conservation buffer requirement (2.5% from 2019) (%)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
9 Countercyclical buffer requirement (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10 Bank D-SIB additional requirements (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11 Total of bank CET1 specific buffer requirements (%) (row 8 + row 9+ row 10)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
12 CET1 available after meeting the bank's minimum capital requirements (%)	28.9	29.6	29.3	30.1	30.5
Basel III Leverage Ratio ⁽³⁾					
13 Total Basel III leverage ratio measure	30 194	30 353	30 811	31 945	31 505
14 Basel III leverage ratio (%) (row 2/row 13)	30.9	31.3	31.6	31.0	31.5
14a Fully loaded ECL accounting model Basel III leverage ratio (%) (row 2A/row 13)	30.9	31.3	31.6	31.0	31.5
Liquidity Coverage Ratio ^{(2) (3)}					
15 Total HQLA	1 732	1 200	1 197	1 190	1 187
16 Total net cash outflow	277	354	317	151	240
17 LCR ratio (%)	624	379	743	933	511
Net Stable Funding Ratio ^{(2) (3)}					
18 Total available stable funding	21 828	21 737	23 294	23 010	23 240
19 Total required stable funding	15 964	16 856	17 634	17 389	17 234
20 NSFR ratio (%)	137	129	132	132	135

(1) The Group has opted not to make use of the transitional arrangements of the ECL accounting provisions for regulatory capital purposes as allowed per SARB Directive 5 of 2017 and instead opted to take the full impact of IFRS 9 into account with effect from 1 October 2018.

(2) Information reported at African Bank Holdings Limited level while the liquidity ratios are at African Bank Limited Level.

(3) Refer to sections 1.3 to 1.6 of the executive summary for reasons on year-on-year movements.

6.2. OV1 - OVERVIEW OF RISK WEIGHTED ASSETS

The following table gives an overview of the risk weighted asset requirements at the respective reporting date. The predominant risk exposure for the Group is credit risk, which comprises loans, credit cards and interbank deposits.

	R million	African Bank Holdings Limited			African Bank Limited		
		RWA		Minimum capital requirements ⁽¹⁾	RWA		Minimum capital requirements ⁽¹⁾
		Sep-2020	Jun-2020	Sep-2020	Sep-2020	Jun-2020	Sep-2020
1	Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk)	20 391	20 160	2 141	18 541	20 160	1 947
2	Of which standardised approach (SA) ⁽²⁾	20 391	20 160	2 141	18 541	20 160	1 947
4	Counterparty credit risk	24	21	3	24	21	3
5	Of which standardised approach for counterparty credit risk (SA-CCR) ⁽³⁾	24	21	3	24	21	3
10	Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) ⁽³⁾	18	9	2	18	9	2
20	Market risk	69	101	7	69	101	7
21	Of which standardised approach (SA) ⁽⁴⁾	69	101	7	69	101	7
24	Operational risk ⁽⁵⁾	3 000	2 026	315	2 918	2 001	306
25	Amounts below thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk weight)	2 379	2 567	250	1 922	1 940	202
26	Floor adjustment ⁽⁶⁾	121	1 091	13	-	1 031	-
27	Total	26 002	25 975	2 731	23 492	25 263	2 467

(1) The minimum capital requirement per risk category from 1 April 2020 is 10.5% which comprises the base minimum (8.00%) plus capital conservation buffer (2.5%). The Pillar 2A systemic risk add-on is currently (0%).

(2) Refer below for a further split on credit risk exposures relating to loans and advances. The RWA value includes the impact of COVID-19 impairment charge as per the Banks' IFRS9 models. The COVID-19 relief supported by the PA through Directive 3 of 2020 did not have an impact on the RWA and subsequently on capital as ABL applies the standardized approach to calculate credit risk. This implies that the risk weighting is applied as prescribed by the credit risk regulations.

(3) ABL currently applies the current exposure method to calculate counterparty credit risk. No material movement has been noted.

(4) Market risk exposure decreased due to the foreign currency net open position reducing as a result of foreign liabilities being repaid and the remaining foreign liabilities being closer to maturity.

(5) ABL currently applies the alternative standardised approach in calculating its operational risk, as approved by the Prudential Authority. The increase is largely attributable to income earned from an additional business line.

(6) The floor adjustment is as prescribed by the Regulator. The decrease is as a result of RWE for operational risk increasing as mentioned above. Overall there is not significant movement when point 5 and 6 are combined.

Public Pillar III Disclosures
in terms of the Banks Act, Regulation 43
as at 30 September 2020

R million	African Bank Holdings Limited			African Bank Limited		
	RWA		Minimum capital requirements ⁽¹⁾	RWA		Minimum capital requirements ⁽¹⁾
	Sep-2020	Jun-2020	Sep-2020	Sep-2020	Jun-2020	Sep-2020
Of which standardised approach (SA) - Loans and advances	19 438	18 772	2 041	17 588	18 772	1 847
Retail Exposures	14 847	15 367	1 559	14 847	15 367	1 559
Non-Retail Exposures (excluding Sovereign exposures)	4 591	3 405	482	2 741	3 405	288

The impact of COVID-19 resulted in a decrease in retail exposures due to a decrease in disbursements and increase in impairments. The increase in non-retail exposures is as a result of the surplus cash being invested in instruments that attract a higher risk weighting and as such the significant increase in risk weighted exposure noted in the above table.

7. COMPOSITION OF CAPITAL

The capital adequacy ratios and qualifying regulatory capital for ABH and ABL as at 30 September 2020 are set out in the table below. The Group remains well capitalised with CET1 and Tier 1 ratios of 35.9% and 31.2% at a consolidated Group and Bank level respectively. The corresponding total capital adequacy ratios are 39.1% and 38.4% respectively.

7.2. COMPOSITION OF REGULATORY CAPITAL

R million	African Bank Holdings Limited		African Bank Limited		Reference ⁽¹⁾
	Sep-2020	Jun-2020	Sep-2020	Jun-2020	
Section A					
Common Equity Tier 1 Capital					
Ordinary share capital & premium	10 000	10 000	10 000	10 000	Row 1
Accumulated profit	-	-	-	-	Row 2
Total as per Transitional Basel 3 Template	10 000	10 000	10 000	10 000	Row 6
Section B					
Common Equity Tier 1 Regulatory Adjustments					
- Intangible assets in terms of IFRS	(115)	(107)	(115)	(107)	
- Other regulatory adjustments, including accumulated losses ⁽²⁾	(550)	(389)	(2 563)	(2 383)	
Total as per Transitional Basel 3 Template	(665)	(496)	(2 678)	(2 490)	Row 28
Section C					
Additional Tier 1 capital (AT1)	-	-	-	-	
Section D					
Subordinated debt	1 523	1 528	1 523	1 528	
Accrued interest not classified as Tier 2 capital	(38)	(43)	(38)	(43)	
Total subordinated debt	1 485	1 485	1 485	1 485	Row 46/48
Haircut on amounts attributable to third parties	(869)	(855)	-	-	Row 57
Tier 2 instruments issued by subsidiary and held by third parties	616	630	-	-	
Portfolio provisions	220	235	220	235	Row 50
Total as per Transitional Basel 3 Template	836	865	1 705	1 720	Row 58
Section E					
Summary of Capital Adequacy Ratios					
CET1%	35.9	36.6	31.2	29.7	
AT1%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
T1%	35.9	36.6	31.2	29.7	
T2%	3.2	3.3	7.2	6.8	
Total capital adequacy %	39.1	39.9	38.4	36.5	

(1) Refer to 7.3 (Composition of Capital Disclosure Template) for references to the rows.

(2) A significant portion of the regulatory adjustment includes accumulated losses (refer 7.4 below).

7.3. CC1 - COMPOSITION OF REGULATORY CAPITAL

The following table gives further details the capital and relevant adjustments as calculated for regulatory reporting purposes for African Bank Holdings Limited and African Bank Limited.

Period ended: 30 September 2020		African Bank Holdings Limited	African Bank Limited	Reference (1)
		R million	R million	
Common Equity Tier 1 capital instruments and reserves				
1	Directly issued qualifying common share capital (and equivalent for non-joint stock companies) plus related to stock surplus	10 000	10 000	Section A
2	Retained earnings	-	-	
3	Accumulated other comprehensive income (and other reserves)	-	-	
6	Common Equity Tier 1 capital before regulatory adjustments	10 000	10 000	Section A
Common Equity Tier 1 capital: regulatory adjustments				
28	Total regulatory adjustments to Common Equity Tier 1	(665)	(2 678)	Section B
29	Common Equity Tier 1 capital (CET 1)	9 335	7 322	
Additional Tier 1 capital: instruments				
36	Additional Tier 1 capital before regulatory adjustments	-	-	
Additional Tier 1 capital: regulatory adjustments				
44	Additional Tier 1 capital (AT1)	-	-	
45	Tier 1 capital (T1= CET1 + AT1)	9 335	7 322	
Tier 2 capital and provisions				
46	Directly issued qualifying Tier 2 instruments plus related stock surplus	-	1 485	Section D
48	Tier 2 instruments (and CET1 and AT1 instruments not included in lines 5 or 34) issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties (amount allowed in group Tier 2)	1 485	-	Section D
50	Provisions	220	220	Section D
51	Tier 2 capital before regulatory adjustments	1 705	1 705	
Tier 2 capital: regulatory adjustments				
57	Total regulatory adjustments to Tier 2 capital	(869)	-	
58	Tier 2 capital (T2)	836	1 705	Section D
59	Total capital (TC = T1 + T2)	10 171	9 027	
60	Total risk weighted assets	26 002	23 492	
Capital ratios				
61	Common Equity Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk weighted assets)	35.9%	31.2%	
62	Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk weighted assets)	35.9%	31.2%	
63	Total capital (as a percentage of risk weighted assets)	39.1%	38.4%	
64	Institution specific buffer requirement (minimum CET1 requirement plus capital conservation buffer plus countercyclical buffer requirements plus G-SIB buffer requirement, expressed as a percentage of risk weighted assets)	7.0%	7.0%	
65	of which: capital conservation buffer requirement	2.5%	2.5%	
66	of which: bank specific countercyclical buffer requirement	0%	0%	
67	of which: G-SIB buffer requirement	0%	0%	
68	Common Equity Tier 1 available to meet buffers (as a percentage of risk weighted assets)	28.9%	24.2%	
Amounts below the threshold for deductions (before risk weighting)				
73	Significant investments in the common stock of financials	-	-	
75	Deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences (net of related tax liability)	952	769	

Applicable caps on the inclusion of provisions in Tier 2				
76	Provisions eligible for inclusion in Tier 2 in respect of exposures subject to standardised approach (prior to application of cap)		2 882	2 882
77	Cap on inclusion of provisions in Tier 2 under standardised approach		220	220

(1) Refer to 6.2 (Composition of Regulatory Capital) for references to the sections.

7.4. CC2 - RECONCILIATION OF REGULATORY CAPITAL TO BALANCE SHEET

Reconciliation of regulatory capital to balance sheet as at 30 September 2020	African Bank Holdings Limited	Regulatory scope of consolidation ⁽²⁾	African Bank Limited	Regulatory scope of consolidation ⁽²⁾	Reference ⁽¹⁾
R million					
Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	2 040	2 030	2 029	2 029	
Regulatory deposits and sovereign debt securities	1 725	1 725	1 725	1 725	
Derivative assets	-	-	-	-	
Net advances	17 636	17 636	17 636	17 636	
Accounts receivable and other assets	200	200	200	200	
Investment in insurance contracts	514	-	-	-	
Current tax asset	-	-	-	-	
Investments	4 323	3 862	2 032	2 032	
Loans to affiliated companies	-	-	-	-	
Property and equipment	752	752	752	752	
Intangible assets	115	115	115	115	Section B
Deferred tax asset	1 133	1 133	1 133	1 133	
Total assets	28 438	27 453	25 622	25 622	
Liabilities					
Short-term funding	5 520	5 520	5 520	5 520	
Derivative liabilities	113	113	113	113	
Creditors and other liabilities	752	752	751	751	
Current tax	83	83	83	83	
Bonds and other long-term funding	9 830	9 830	9 830	9 830	
Subordinated bonds, debentures and loans	1 523	1 523	1 523	1 523	Section D
Deferred tax liability	-	-	-	-	
Total liabilities	17 821	17 821	17 820	17 820	
Equity					
Ordinary share capital	5	5	5	5	Row 1
Ordinary share premium	9 995	9 995	9 995	9 995	Row 1
Accumulated reserves / (losses)	617	(369)	(2 198)	(2 198)	Section B
Total equity	10 617	9 631	7 802	7 802	
Total liabilities and equity	28 438	27 452	25 622	25 622	

(1) Refer to 7.2 and 7.3 for references to the sections and rows respectively.

(2) Note that at African Bank Limited level there are no differences, other than deductions in respect of intangible assets, between the IFRS and regulatory balance sheet, however in addition at African Bank Holdings Limited level, the insurance entity (African Insurance Group) is not consolidated for regulatory purposes.

8. LEVERAGE RATIO

Public disclosure of the leverage ratio (calculated using the prescribed leverage ratio template) and its components has been required since 1 January 2015. See section 6 above for an overview of this ratio.

The increase in the leverage ratio at ABL level is largely driven by the decrease in total exposure primarily driven by the repayment of the wholesale liabilities as they matured which subsequently resulted in the cash balances decreasing. The total exposure further decreased as a result of loans and advances decreasing

due to a decline in disbursement given the current economic conditions. No significant movement noted at ABH level. The leverage ratio remains well in excess of the current regulatory minimum requirement.

The exposure used in the calculation of the ratio (see 8.2) differs from the total assets as measured using IFRS as shown below. The disclosures are prepared using figures as at 30 September 2020.

8.1 LR1 - SUMMARY COMPARISON OF ACCOUNTING ASSETS VS LEVERAGE RATIO EXPOSURE MEASURE

Line #	R million	African Bank Holdings Limited		African Bank Limited	
		Sep-2020	Jun-2020	Sep-2020	Jun-2020
1	Total consolidated assets as per published financial statements	28 438	28 236	25 622	27 869
2	Adjustment for investments in banking, financial, insurance or commercial entities that are consolidated for accounting purposes but outside the scope of regulatory consolidation	(985)	(829)	-	-
3	Adjustment for fiduciary assets recognised on the balance sheet pursuant to the operative accounting framework but excluded from the leverage ratio exposure measure	-	-	-	-
4	Adjustments for derivative financial instruments	24	21	24	21
5	Adjustment for securities financing transactions (i.e. repos and similar secured lending)	-	-	-	-
6	Adjustment for off-balance sheet items (i.e. conversion to credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet exposures)	133	135	133	135
7	Other adjustments ⁽¹⁾	2 584	2 790	2 402	2 608
8	Leverage ratio exposure	30 194	30 353	28 181	30 633

(1) Other adjustments reflect differences between the regulatory and accounting basis of preparation (refer Basis of compilation). This impacted the values relating to general provisions and intangible assets.

8.2 LR2 - LEVERAGE RATIO COMMON DISCLOSURE TEMPLATE

Line #	R million	African Bank Holdings Limited		African Bank Limited	
		Sep-2020	Jun-2020	Sep-2020	Jun-2020
On-balance sheet exposures					
1	On-balance sheet items (excluding derivatives and Securities Financing Transactions ("SFTs")*, but including collateral)	30 333	30 374	28 503	30 835
2	Asset amounts deducted in determining Basel III Tier 1 capital	(296)	(177)	(479)	(358)
3	Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs) (sum of lines 1 and 2)	30 037	30 197	28 024	30 477
Derivative exposures					
4	Replacement cost associated with all derivatives transactions (i.e. net of eligible cash variation margin)	-	-	-	-
5	Add-on amounts for PFE associated with all derivatives transactions	24	21	24	21
6	Gross-up for derivatives collateral provided where deducted from the balance sheet asset pursuant to the operative accounting framework	-	-	-	-
7	(Deductions of receivables assets for cash variation margin provided in derivatives transactions)	-	-	-	-
8	(Exempted CCP leg of client-cleared trade exposures)	-	-	-	-
9	Adjusted effective notional amount of written credit derivatives	-	-	-	-
10	(Adjusted effective notional offsets and add-on deductions for written credit derivatives)	-	-	-	-
11	Total derivative exposures (sum of lines 4 to 10)	24	21	24	21
Securities financing transaction exposures					
12	Gross SFT assets (with no recognition of netting), after adjusting for sales accounting transactions	-	-	-	-
13	(Netted amounts of cash payables and cash receivables of gross SFT assets)	-	-	-	-
14	CCR exposure for SFT assets	-	-	-	-
15	Agent transaction exposures	-	-	-	-
16	Total securities financing transaction exposures (sum of lines 12 to 15)	-	-	-	-
Other off-balance sheet exposures					
17	Off-balance sheet exposure at gross notional amount	667	675	667	675
18	(Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts)	(534)	(540)	(534)	(540)
19	Off-balance sheet items (sum of lines 17 and 18)	133	135	133	135
20	Tier 1 capital	9 335	9 504	7 322	7 510
21	Total exposures (sum of lines 3, 11, 16 and 19)	30 194	30 353	28 181	30 633
Leverage ratio					
22	Basel III leverage ratio	30.9%	31.3%	26.0%	24.5%

* SFT's: Securities Financing Transactions (transaction where securities are used to borrow cash, or vice versa).

9. LINKAGES BETWEEN FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND REGULATORY EXPOSURES

This section outlines the treatment and the carrying values as published in the financial statements used for the various regulatory risk categories and the carrying values of the items for the calculation of regulatory capital. Certain differences arise as a result of differing treatment under regulatory and IFRS rules as further explained below.

9.1 LI1: DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ACCOUNTING AND REGULATORY SCOPES OF CONSOLIDATION AND MAPPING OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT CATEGORIES WITH REGULATORY RISK CATEGORIES

Public Pillar III Disclosures
in terms of the Banks Act, Regulation 43
as at 30 September 2020

African Bank Limited (R million)	a	c	d	e	f	g
	Carrying values as reported in financial statements & under scope of regulatory consolidation ⁽¹⁾	Subject to credit risk framework	Subject to counterparty credit risk framework	Subject to the securitisation framework	Subject to the market risk framework	Not subject to capital requirements or subject to deductions from capital
Cash and cash equivalents ⁽²⁾	2 029	2 029	-	-	1 108	-
Financial Investments	2 032	2 032	-	-	-	-
Statutory assets	1 725	1 725	-	-	-	-
Net advances	17 636	17 636	-	-	-	-
Accounts receivable and other assets	200	200	-	-	-	-
Property and equipment	752	752	-	-	-	-
Intangible assets	115	-	-	-	-	115
Deferred tax asset	1 133	-	-	-	-	1 133
Total assets	25 622	24 374	-	-	1 108	1 248
Short-term funding ⁽¹⁾	5 520	-	-	-	2 465	3 055
Derivative liabilities	113	-	113	-	-	-
Creditors and accruals	751	-	-	-	-	751
Current tax	83	-	-	-	-	83
Bonds and other long-term funding ⁽¹⁾	9 830	-	-	-	624	9 206
Subordinated bonds, debentures, loans	1 523	-	-	-	-	1 523
Ordinary shareholder's equity	7 802	-	-	-	-	7 802
Total equity and liabilities	25 622	-	113	-	3 089	22 420

(1) The table above is completed at ABL level as the difference between the assets of ABL and ABH relate to financial investments held by ABH which amount to R1.8 billion. These are subject to the credit risk framework. Refer to CC2 table in section 7.4 of the report above for the ABH balance sheet.

(2) The amounts subject to both credit risk and market risk relates to the foreign currency exposures therein. The liabilities subject to market risk relates to the foreign currency bonds.

9.2 LI2: MAIN SOURCES OF DIFFERENCES BETWEEN REGULATORY AMOUNTS AND CARRYING VALUES IN FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The purpose of this table is to provide information on the main sources of differences (other than due to different scopes of consolidation which are shown in 9.1) between the financial statements' carrying value amounts and the exposure amounts used for regulatory purposes.

R million	a	b	Items subject to:			e
			Total	Credit risk framework	Counterparty credit risk framework	
1	Total asset carrying value amount under scope of regulatory consolidation	25 622	24 374	-	-	1 108
2	Total equity and liabilities carrying value amount under scope of regulatory consolidation	25 622	-	113	-	3 089
3	Total net amount under regulatory scope of consolidation ⁽³⁾	-	24 374	(113)	-	(1 981)
4	Off-balance sheet amounts ⁽¹⁾	667	133	-	-	-
5	Differences in valuations ⁽²⁾	-	2 418	18	-	2 050
9	Exposure amounts considered for regulatory purposes ⁽⁴⁾	27 036	26 925	42	-	69
(1)	<i>The Off-balance sheet amount relates to the undrawn commitments on credit cards and under the credit risk framework is reported post the application of prescribed credit conversion factors (CCF).</i>					
(2)	<i>The differences in valuations relates to different treatments for IFRS and regulatory reporting.</i>					
(3)	<i>The amounts subject to both credit risk framework, counterparty credit risk framework and market risk framework relates to the foreign currency exposures therein. The liabilities subject to market risk relates to the foreign currency bonds.</i>					
(4)	<i>The amount under counterparty credit risk (CCR) framework includes both CVA and CCR exposures</i>					

10. CREDIT RISK

This section outlines the regulatory view of the credit risk associated with retail advances, comprising personal loans and credit cards, and interbank deposits. These balances are reflected on the ABL balance sheet.

For an overview of credit risk management, including credit granting criteria, the credit philosophy, credit risk assessment and monitoring, collections and restructures and the credit provisioning methodologies, please refer to Note 29 in the ABL annual financial statements for the year ended 30 September 2020.

10.1 CR1 - CREDIT QUALITY OF ASSETS

The following table shows the classification of the gross carrying value of the total of the retail advances and interbank deposits split between defaulted and non-defaulted exposures showing the impairments in respect of the defaulted exposures. The impairment provision coverage in respect of the non-defaulted exposures are not included here and are shown under section 10.5.

R million	a	b	c	d	e	f	g
	Gross carrying values of		Allowances/ impairments	Of which ECL accounting provisions for credit losses on SA exposures		Of which ECL accounting provisions for credit losses on IRB exposures	Net values (a + b - c)
	Defaulted exposures ⁽¹⁾	Non-defaulted exposures		Allocated in regulatory category of Specific	Allocated in regulatory category of General		
Loans	11 609	20 627	10 592	7 710	2 882	-	21 645
Debt securities	-	1 344	-	-	-	-	1 344
Off-balance sheet exposures	226	441	-	-	-	-	667
Total	11 835	22 412	10 592	7 710	2 882	-	23 656

(1) Financial assets which have defaulted (equivalent to 90 days past due assumption of default) but have not yet reached write-off.

10.2 CR2 - CHANGES IN STOCK OF DEFAULTED LOANS AND DEBT SECURITIES

This table shows the movement in the gross defaulted loans and advances during the reporting period

R million	a
Defaulted loans and debt securities at end of the previous reporting period	11 491
Increase in defaulted Loans and debt securities since the last reporting period	3 598
Returned to non-defaulted status	(538)
Amounts written off	(2 452)
Other changes	(490)
Defaulted loans and debt securities at end of the reporting period	11 609

10.3 BREAKDOWN OF GROSS CREDIT EXPOSURE BY GEOGRAPHICAL AREAS

The total gross credit exposure of the Bank is located within the Republic of South Africa (Rm 33,783). There are no exposures outside of South Africa.

10.4 BREAKDOWN OF GROSS CREDIT EXPOSURE BY INDUSTRY TYPE

The split of the credit exposure between financial intermediaries and private household is given below. The first category comprises interbank deposits and RSA sovereign exposures, while the second comprises personal loans and credit cards.

R million	On balance sheet exposure	Off balance sheet exposure	Total
Financial intermediation and insurance	3 522	-	3 522
Private households	28 250	667	28 917
Other	1 344	-	1 344
Total	33 116	667	33 783
of which: Sovereign (central government and central bank)	1 344	-	1 344

10.5 IMPAIRED ADVANCES

The impaired advances relate to exposures to private households. No impairments have been raised on the other exposures.

Where advances are four or more instalments in arrears and no payment has been received in any of the

preceding eight months, such advances are written off in full. Where payments were received in any of the eight preceding months, the advance will not be written off, but will be impaired according to the applicable expected repayment profile.

Regulatory classifications	Impairment Cover %
	Sep-2020
Standard and special mention	17.29%
Sub-standard	69.91%
Doubtful	68.89%
Loss	64.16%

10.6 AGEING ANALYSIS

The ageing of gross advances on term loans and credit cards to customers based purely on days past due. Amounts reported are based on actuals as at 30 September 2020.

R million	Gross carrying amount
Not past due	12 860
Past due 31 -90 days	3 758
Past due 91 - 182 days	5 092
Past due > 182 days	6 517
Total	28 227

10.7 CRD - EXTERNAL CREDIT ASSESSMENT

In calculating the required amount of capital to be held against credit risk, the Bank applies the long term, international credit ratings as published by Moody's Investor Services.

These credit ratings are applied to all asset classes where such ratings are available. Where credit ratings are not available, the bank applies the unrated risk weight as required in terms of the Regulations.

The Bank applies the standardised approach for the measurement of credit risk in terms of Regulations 23 and 24 of the Regulations relating to banks.

Credit assessment issued by eligible institution						
Claim in respect of	AAA to AA-	A+ to A-	BBB+ to BBB-	BB+ to B-	Below B-	Unrated
Sovereigns	0%	20%	50%	100%	150%	100%
Public sector entities	20%	50%	50%	100%	150%	50%
Bank	20%	50%	50%	100%	150%	50%
Securities firms	20%	50%	50%	100%	150%	50%
Bank: short term claims	20%	20%	20%	50%	150%	20%
Securities firms: short term claims	20%	20%	20%	50%	150%	20%
	AAA to AA-	A+ to A-	BBB+ to BB-	Below BB-		Unrated
Corporate entities	20%	50%	100%	150%		100%
Short term credit assessment						
	A-1/P-1	A-2/P-2	A-3/P-3	Other		
Banks and corporate entities	20%	50%	100%	150%		

10.8 CR3 - CREDIT RISK MITIGATION TECHNIQUES OVERVIEW

The bank currently does not hold any collateral in respect of derivative exposures and therefore all credit risk exposures are unsecured.

R million	a	b	c	d	e	f	g
	Exposures Unsecured: carrying amount	Exposures secured by collateral	Exposures secured by collateral of which: secured amount	Exposures secured by financial guarantees	Exposures secured by financial guarantees of which: secured amount	Exposure secured by credit derivatives	Exposures secured by credit derivatives of which: secured amount
Loans	21 645	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt securities	1 344	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	22 989	-	-	-	-	-	-
Of which defaulted	11 609	-	-	-	-	-	-

10.9 CR4 - CREDIT RISK EXPOSURE AND CREDIT RISK MITIGATION (CRM) EFFECTS

The following table shows the net on balance sheet and off balance sheet amounts after provisions of the various asset classes, together with the risk weighted asset requirement calculated against those net exposures.

R million		a	B	c	d	e	f
		Exposures before CCF and CRM		Exposures post CCF and CRM ⁽¹⁾		RWA and RWA density	
Asset classes		On-balance sheet amount	Off-balance sheet amount	On-balance sheet amount	Off-balance sheet amount	RWA	RWA density
1	Sovereign and their central banks	1 344	-	1 344	-	-	-
2	Non-central government public sector entities	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Multilateral development banks	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Banks	2 008	-	2 008	-	1 227	61.11%
5	Securities firms	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Corporates	1 514	-	1 514	-	1 514	100.00%
7	Regulatory retail portfolios	16 387	441	16 387	88	12 356	75.00%
	of which:						
8	Secured by residential property	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	Secured by commercial real estate	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Past-due loans	4 154	226	4 154	45	2 491	59.32%
12	Higher-risk categories	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	Other assets	1 386	-	1 386	-	953	68.76%
14	Total	26 793	667	26 793	133	18 541	68.86%

(1) As per 10.8, credit risk mitigation (CRM) is applied to derivative exposures when applicable, which are not included in the table above. Credit conversion factors (CCF) have been applied to off-balance sheet exposures in terms of Regulation 23.

10.10 CR5 - EXPOSURES BY ASSET CLASS AND RISK WEIGHTS

This table shows the risk weightings assigned to the various asset classes, post CCF and CRM

R million	a	b	c	d	e	F	g	h	i	j
Asset classes by risk weights	0%	10%	20%	35%	50%	75%	100%	150%	Others	Total credit exposures amount (post CCF and post-CRM)
1 Sovereign and their central banks	1 344	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 344
2 Non-central government public sector entities (PSEs)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 Multilateral development banks (MDBs)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 Banks	-	-	901	-	119	-	987	-	-	2 007
5 Securities firms	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 Corporates	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 514	-	-	1 514
7 Regulatory retail portfolios	-	-	-	-	-	16 476	-	-	-	16 476
8 of which:										
8 Secured by residential property	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9 Secured by commercial real estate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10 Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 Past-due loans	-	-	-	-	3 449	-	717	33	-	4 199
12 Higher-risk categories	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13 Other assets	433	-	-	-	-	-	953	-	-	1 386
14 Total	1 777	-	901	-	3 568	16 476	4 171	33	-	26 926

10.11 CCR1 - ANALYSIS OF COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK (CCR) EXPOSURE BY APPROACH

The information shown in this table and the three tables below show the CCR in respect of the foreign currency swap hedges and an interest rate swap that the Bank has entered into.

R million		a	b	c	d	E	F
		Replacement Cost	Potential future exposure	EEPE	Alpha used for computing regulatory EAD	EAD post-CRM	RWA
1	SA-CCR (for derivatives) ⁽¹⁾	-	24			24	24
2	Internal model method (for derivatives and SFTs)			-	-	-	-
3	Simple approach for credit risk mitigation (for SFTs)					-	-
4	Comprehensive approach for credit risk mitigation (for SFTs)					-	-
5	VaR for SFTs					-	-
6	Total						24

(1) African Bank is currently applying the Current Exposure method.

10.12 CCR2 - CREDIT VALUATION ADJUSTMENT (CVA) CHARGE

Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) is the difference between the risk-free portfolio value and the true portfolio value that takes into account the possibility of a counterparty's default. In other words, CVA is the market value of counterparty credit risk. The RWA of the CVA is added to the risk weighted amount for counterparty credit exposure.

R million		a	B
		EAD post-CRM	RWA
	Total portfolios subject to the advanced CVA capital charge	-	-
1	(i) VaR component (including the 3 x multiplier)		
2	(ii) Stressed VaR component (including the 3 x multiplier)		
3	All portfolios subject to the standardised CVA capital charge	23	18
4	Total subject to the CVA capital charge	23	18

10.13 CCR3 - CCR EXPOSURES BY REGULATORY PORTFOLIOS AND RISK WEIGHTS

The exposure relates to foreign currency swaps which serves as a hedge for the foreign currency debt funding the Bank holds and an interest rate swap as at 30 September 2020.

R million	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	H	I	j
Regulatory portfolios by risk weights	0%	10%	20%	35%	50%	75%	100%	150%	Others	Total credit exposure
Sovereigns	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Non-central government public sector entities (PSEs)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Multilateral development banks (MDBs)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	24	-	-	24
Securities firms	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Corporates	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Regulatory retail portfolios	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other assets	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	24	-	-	24

10.14 CCR5 - COMPOSITION OF COLLATERAL FOR CCR EXPOSURE

There was no requirement for collateral to be held by the Bank for derivative exposure held as at 30 September 2020.

R million	a		b		c		d		e		f	
	Collateral used in derivative transactions				Collateral used in SFT's							
	Fair value of collateral received		Fair value of posted collateral		Fair value of collateral received		Fair value of posted collateral					
	Segregated	Un segregated	Segregated	Un segregated	Segregated	Un segregated	Segregated	Un segregated				
Cash - domestic currency	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cash - other currencies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

11. LIQUIDITY MEASUREMENTS

11.1 LIQUIDITY MANAGEMENT

Liquidity risk is managed by the Group Asset and Liability Committee (ALCO) that oversees the activities of the Treasury department which operates in terms of an approved Assets and Liabilities Management (ALM) risk appetite policy and approved limits, managing cash on a centralised basis. The Group has a board approved Liquidity and Funding Risk Policy that sets out the overall approach to liquidity and funding risk management of ABH Group and its subsidiaries, excluding the African Insurance Group Limited. This policy standardises the liquidity and funding risk measurement and management process in the Group. While the Policy applies to the Group and all entities within the Group, the overwhelming majority of liquidity and funding activities occur within ABL.

This section presents various measurements of the Group liquidity position. Further detail regarding liquidity risk is given in Note 31 to the ABL audited annual financial statements for the year ended 30 September 2020.

11.2 LIQUIDITY AND FUNDING STRATEGY

The Group's strategy is to diversify its' funding towards achieving a greater proportion of retail funding relative to wholesale funding. As at 30 September 2020 the Group received 35% of its total funding from retail depositors, up from 14% as at 30 September 2019.

The Group has a conservative liquidity risk appetite, holding cash reserves greater than or equal to 6 months of expected net cash outflows, including operational cash flows and contractual maturities. Under this scenario, which is effectively a stress scenario, the Group does not expect to roll any maturing wholesale deposits and not raise any new wholesale deposits.

Further stress tests are applied whereby key inputs into the cash flow forecast are stressed. Appropriate management actions are formulated in order to address these liquidity stresses.

Liquidity risk is recognised as a key risk that impacts the going concern stages of the Group. The directors have

satisfied themselves that the Group is in a sound financial position and had sufficient cash reserves to meet all its short term and medium cash requirements as of 30 September 2020.

11.3 CONTRACTUAL AND BEHAVIOURAL LIQUIDITY MISMATCHES

Both the contractual and behavioural mismatches benefit positively from the high component of equity funding and the extended term of the wholesale liabilities. This creates a surplus of asset cash flows over liability cash flows.

11.4 CONTRACTUAL LIQUIDITY MATURITY ANALYSIS (MISMATCH)

The following table analyses assets and liabilities of the Bank into relevant maturity groupings, based on the remaining period at balance sheet date to the contractual maturity date.

The graph below summarises the net liquidity gap, being the sum total of the table.

The table was prepared on the following basis:

- ▶ Asset and liability cash flows are presented on an undiscounted basis with an adjustment to reflect the total discounted result;
- ▶ The cash flows of floating rate financial instruments are calculated using published forward market rates at balance sheet date;
- ▶ The cash flows of derivative financial instruments are included on a gross basis;
- ▶ Contractual cash flows with respect to off-balance sheet items which have not yet been recorded on the balance sheet, are excluded;
- ▶ Adjustments to loans and advances to clients relate to deferred loan fee income, and
- ▶ Non-cash liabilities, representing leave pay and the straight-lining of operating leases, are disclosed as adjustments to trade and other payables.

African Bank Limited

Assets and liabilities maturities as at 30 September 2020 R million	Demand and up to 1 month	Greater than 1 month up to 3 months	Greater than 3 months up to 12 months	Greater than 12 months up to 24 months	Greater than 24 months	Non-contractual	Total
Assets							
Cash and cash equivalents	2 029	-	-	-	-	-	2 029
Regulatory deposits and sovereign debt securities	381	28	779	537	-	-	1 725
Derivative assets	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net advances	955	914	4 110	2 725	8 042	890	17 636
Accounts receivable and other assets	200	-	-	-	-	-	200
Investments	2 032	-	-	-	-	-	2 032
Loans to group companies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Property and equipment	-	-	-	-	-	752	752
Intangible assets	-	-	-	-	-	115	115
Deferred tax asset	-	-	-	-	-	1 133	1 133
Total assets	5 597	942	4 889	3 262	8 042	2 890	25 622
Liabilities and equity							
Short-term funding	2 947	556	2 017	-	-	-	5 520
Derivative liabilities	63	-	37	-	13	-	113
Creditors and other liabilities	554	25	14	45	24	89	751
Current tax	-	-	83	-	-	-	83
Bonds and other long-term funding	184	25	214	3 804	5 603	-	9 830
Subordinated bonds, debentures and loans	39	-	-	-	1 484	-	1 523
Deferred tax liability	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ordinary shareholder's equity	-	-	-	-	-	7 802	7 802
Total liabilities and equity	3 787	606	2 365	3 849	7 124	7 891	25 622
Net liquidity gap	1 810	336	2 524	(587)	918	(5 001)	-

The above table differs to the view presented under IFRS in the annual financial statements largely for the reasons described in section 2 of the executive summary (basis of preparation) of this report.

Off balance sheet items

The following off balance sheet items will result in a future cash outflow subsequent to reporting date. These cash flows are regarded as transactions relating to future reporting periods and are therefore excluded from the static maturity analysis above. As a going concern, these outflows will be offset by future cash inflows.

- (a) Committed undrawn credit card facilities totaled R667 million. These commitments are attributable to undrawn credit card amounts.

11.5 LIQ1 - LIQUIDITY COVERAGE RATIO (LCR)

The LCR is a 30-day stress test, which requires the Bank to hold sufficient high-quality liquid assets to cover envisaged net outflows. These outflows are calibrated using prescribed Basel factors applied to assets and liabilities in a static run-off model. Basel definitions are used to identify high-quality liquid assets.

The increase in the LCR from the previous reporting period was as result of a decrease in the total net cash outflows over the quarter ended 30 September 2020, primarily as a result of lower maturing liability balances, as compared to the quarter ended 30 June 2020. The increase in LCR was further compounded by additional investments in HQLA during the reporting quarter.

African Bank Limited		Total unweighted value (average) ⁽¹⁾	Total weighted value (average) ⁽¹⁾	Total weighted value (average) ⁽¹⁾
R million		Sep-2020	Sep-2020	Jun-2020
1	Total high-quality liquid assets (HQLA) (see 10.5.1)		1 732	1 200
	Cash outflows			
2	Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which:	984	98	75
3	Stable deposits	-	-	-
4	Less-stable deposits	984	98	75
5	Unsecured wholesale funding, of which:	512	512	881
6	Operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks of cooperative banks	-	-	-
7	Non-operational deposits (all counterparties)	-	-	-
8	Unsecured debt	512	512	881
9	Secured wholesale funding	-	-	-
10	Additional requirements, of which:	-	-	-
11	Outflows related to derivative exposures and other collateral requirements	460	460	425
12	Outflows related to loss of funding on debt products	-	-	-
13	Credit and liquidity facilities	677	34	33
14	Other contractual funding obligations	99	5	3
15	Other contingent funding obligations	-	-	-
16	Total cash outflows	2 732	1 110	1 416
	Cash inflows			
17	Secured lending (e.g. reverse repos)	-	-	-
18	Inflows from fully performing exposures	3 814	3 462	4 379
19	Other cash inflows	-	-	52
20	Total cash inflows	3 814	3 462	4 432
			Total Adjusted Value	Total Adjusted Value
21	Total HQLA		1 732	1 200
22	Total net cash outflows ⁽²⁾		277	354
23	Liquidity coverage ratio (%) ⁽³⁾		624%	379%

(1) The average numbers are calculated using the daily LCR figures for the quarter ended 30 September 2020.

(2) ABL has a net cash inflow after applying the run-off factors, outflows for the purpose of the ratio are therefore deemed to be 25% of gross outflows.

(3) The difference between Bank and Group primarily relates to the additional R1.8 billion financial investments in ABH which would result in an increase in cash inflows. As per point 2 above, ABL already has a net cash inflow and therefore this will not impact the LCR.

11.5.1 COMPOSITION OF HIGH-QUALITY LIQUID ASSETS

High-quality liquid assets include only those assets with a high potential to be converted easily and quickly into cash. There are three regulatory-prescribed categories of high-quality liquidity assets: level 1, level 2A and level 2B assets.

R million	Sep-2020	Jun-2020
Total level one qualifying high-quality liquid assets ⁽¹⁾	1 732	1 200
Cash	3	-
Qualifying central bank reserves	366	370
Specified debt securities issued in Rand by the central government of the RSA or the Reserve Bank	1 363	830

(1) ABL does not have any investments in level two high-quality liquid assets.

11.5.2 MR1 - DERIVATIVE EXPOSURES AND POTENTIAL COLLATERAL CALLS

The table below provide information on the potential exposure to margin calls on derivative exposures. All derivatives are entered into for the sole purpose of risk mitigation in the banking book.

Potential exposure to margin calls on derivative exposures	a
R million	RWA
1 General interest rate risk	-
2 Equity risk	-
3 Commodity risk	-
4 Foreign exchange risk	69
5 Credit spread risk - non-securitisations	-
6 Credit spread risk - securitisations (non-correlation trading portfolio)	-
7 Credit spread risk - securitisation (correlation trading portfolio)	-
8 Default risk - non-securitisations	-
9 Default risk - securitisations (non-correlation trading portfolio)	-
10 Default risk - securitisations (correlation trading portfolio)	-
11 Residual risk add-on	-
12 Total	69

11.6 LIQ2 - NET STABLE FUNDING RATIO (NSFR)

The NSFR is defined as the amount of available stable funding relative to the amount of required stable funding, over a one year period. This ratio is required to be greater than or equal to 100% on an on-going basis.

“Available stable funding” is defined as the portion of capital and liabilities expected to be reliable over the time horizon considered by the NSFR, which extends to one year. The amount of such stable funding required of a specific institution is a function of the liquidity characteristics and residual maturities of the various assets held by that institution as well as those of its off-balance sheet exposures.

The NSFR is designed to ensure closer matching of long-term asset cash flows with long-term funding cash flows. Full compliance for NSFR became effective since January 2018.

The increase in NSFR is driven by movement in both, the available stable funding as well as the required stable funding. The increase in the available stable funding is largely due to an increase in the retail deposit book as the wholesale deposits mature which has a positive impact on the ratio. This increase is further compounded by the decrease in required stable funding due to cash balance decreasing as a result of maturing wholesale liabilities as well as a decrease in disbursements as mentioned earlier.

R million	Unweighted value by residual maturity				Weighted value[1]	
	Available stable funding (ASF) item	No maturity	<6 months	6 months to <1 year		≥1 year
1	Capital:	9 507	-	-	-	9 507
2	Regulatory capital	9 507	-	-	-	9 507
3	Other capital instruments	-	-	-	-	-
4	Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers:	-	1 759	667	3 646	5 829
5	Stable deposits	-	-	-	-	-
6	Less stable deposits	-	1 759	667	3 646	5 829
7	Wholesale funding:	-	2 515	970	5 761	6 492
8	Operational deposits	-	-	-	-	-
9	Other wholesale funding	-	2 515	970	5 761	6 492
10	Liabilities with matching interdependent assets	-	-	-	-	-
11	Other liabilities:	-	904	-	-	-
12	NSFR derivative liabilities	-	-	-	-	-
13	All other liabilities and equity not included in the above categories	-	904	-	-	-
14	Total ASF					21 828

(1) The weighted value is determined by applying a prescribed percentage to the gross or unweighted value as per the applicable requirements. The percentage applied is determined by the nature and maturity of the applicable balance.

R million	Unweighted value by residual maturity				Weighted value[1]	
	Required stable funding (RSF) item	No maturity	<6 months	6 months to <1 year	≥1 year	Total
15	Total NSFR high-quality liquid assets ("HQLA")	-	-	-	-	86
16	Deposits held at other financial institutions for operational purposes	-	-	-	-	-
17	Performing loans and securities:		7 262	2 726	10 767	12 743
18	Performing loans to financial institutions secured by Level 1 HQLA	-	-	-	-	-
19	Performing loans to financial institutions secured by non-Level 1 HQLA and unsecured performing loans to financial institutions	-	4 009	-	-	601
20	Performing loans to non-financial corporate clients, loans to retail and small business customers, and loans to sovereigns, central banks and PSEs, of which:	-	3 253	2 726	10 767	12 142
21	With a risk weight of less than or equal to 35% under the Basel II standardised approach for credit risk	-	-	-	-	-
22	Performing residential mortgages, of which:	-	-	-	-	-
23	With a risk weight of less than or equal to 35% under the Basel II standardised approach for credit risk	-	-	-	-	-
24	Securities that are not in default and do not qualify as HQLA, including exchange-traded equities	-	-	-	-	-
25	Assets with matching interdependent liabilities	-	-	-	-	-
26	Other liabilities:	-	-	-	-	11
27	Physical traded commodities, including gold	-	-	-	-	-
28	Assets posted as initial margin for derivative contracts and contributions to default funds of CCPs	-	-	-	-	-
29	NSFR derivative assets	-	-	-	-	-
30	NSFR derivative liabilities before deduction of variation margin posted	-	-	-	113	11
31	All other assets not included in the above categories	-	-	-	3 091	3 091
32	Off-balance sheet items	-	667	-	-	33
33	Total RSF					15 964
34	Net Stable Funding Ratio (%)					137%

(1) The weighted value is determined by applying a prescribed percentage to the gross or unweighted value as per the applicable requirements. The percentage applied is determined by the nature and maturity of the applicable balance.

13. INTEREST RATE RISK

The sensitivity analyses have been determined based on the exposure to interest rates for both derivatives and non-derivative instruments. A 200-basis point movement for ZAR exposures and a 50-basis point movement for foreign currency exposures are used when reporting interest rate risk internally and represents management's assessment of the reasonably possible change in interest rates.

Further detail regarding interest rate risk is given in Note 30.1 to the African Bank Limited Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 September 2020. The

differences between the disclosures for interest rate risk sensitivity in the annual financial statements and this report relate to differing methodologies applied.

The impact of a parallel rate shock on ABL's interest rate risk sensitivity calculated as a percentage of qualifying capital and reserve funds is relatively limited.

An interest rate increase resulted in 1.13% increase and an interest rate decrease resulted in (1.13%) decrease as a percentage of qualifying capital and reserve funds.

Interest rate sensitivity (R million)	Sep-2020	Mar-2020
Increase	100	134
Decrease	(100)	(134)

14. REMUNERATION

The Remuneration Policy is linked to sustainable value creation and comprises both short and long-term incentives. For detailed disclosures refer to pages 60-71 of the African Bank Holdings Limited Governance Report as at 30 September 2020. This report contains a detailed review of the remuneration paid to prescribed officers as defined by King IV™ who are regarded as senior managers for purposes of this report. There are no other material risk-takers.

15. QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES AND ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The regulations require that certain qualitative disclosures and statements on accounting policy be made. These were made in the annual financial statements and integrated annual report for the financial period ended 30 September 2020, in the remuneration report, corporate governance and risk

management review and statements on group accounting policy. The disclosures in this report should be read together with the integrated annual report and transitional Basel templates. These disclosures can be found on the ABL website under investor relations, financial reporting.

Annexure A

	Tables and templates	Reference to Pillar 3
Overview of risk management and RWA	OVA – Bank risk management approach	3 (Referenced o AFS)
	KM1 - Key metrics (at consolidated group level)	6.1
	OV1 – Overview of RWA	6.2
Composition of Capital	CCA – Main features of regulatory capital instruments	Refer to: https://www.africanbank.co.za/en/home/corporate-info-basel-pillar-iii-announcements/
	CC1 – Composition of regulatory capital	7.3
	CC2 – Reconciliation of regulatory capital to balance sheet	7.4
Leverage ratio	LR1 - Summary comparison of accounting assets vs leverage ratio exposure measure	8.1
	LR2 - Leverage ratio common disclosure template	8.2
Linkages between financial statements and regulatory exposures	LI1 – Difference between accounting and regulatory scopes of consolidation and mapping of financial statements with regulatory risk categories	9.1
	LI2 – Main sources of differences between regulatory exposure amounts and carrying values in financial statements	9.2
	LIA – Explanations of differences between accounting and regulatory exposure amounts	N/A (No difference)
Credit Risk	CRA – General information about credit risk	10 (Note 29 of AFS)
	CR1 – Credit quality of assets	10.1
	CR2 – Changes in stock of defaulted loans and debt securities	10.2
	CRB – Additional disclosure related to the credit quality of assets	10.3 to 10.6
	CRC – Qualitative disclosure requirements related to credit risk mitigation techniques	3 (Referenced to AFS)
	CR3 – Credit risk mitigation techniques – Overview	10.8
	CRD – Qualitative disclosures on banks’ use of external credit ratings under the standardised approach for credit risk	10.7
	CR4 – Standardised approach – credit risk exposure and Credit Risk Mitigation (CRM) effects	10.9
	CR5 – Standardised approach – exposures by asset classes and risk weights	10.10
Counterparty credit risk	CCRA – Qualitative disclosure related to counterparty credit risk	3 (Referenced to AFS)

	CCR1 – Analysis of counterparty credit risk (CCR) exposure by approach	10.11
	CCR2 – Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) capital charge	10.12
	CCR3 – Standardised approach of CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk weights	10.13
	CCR5 – Composition of collateral for CCR exposures	10.14
Liquidity risk	LIQ1 – Liquidity Coverage Ratio	11.5
	LIQ2 – Nest Stable Funding Ratio	11.6
Market risk	MRA – Qualitative disclosure requirements related to market risk	3 (Referenced to AFS)
	MR1 – Market risk under standardised approach	11.5.2

**The Bank has not disclosed the Pillar 3 PVA table as there are no material fair value items on the balance sheet.*